

## 12. NOISE & VIBRATION

### 12.1 Introduction

The Proposed Project has the potential to create noise and vibration during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases.

As detailed in Section 1.1.1 in Chapter 1, for the purposes of this EIAR, the various project components are described and assessed using the following references: 'Proposed Project', 'Proposed Wind Farm', 'Proposed Grid Connection' and the 'Site'.

This chapter assesses the potential noise and vibration impacts at the nearest Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs), which are residential properties located within c. 2.5 km of the proposed turbine locations. The full description of the Proposed Project is detailed in Chapter 4.

This chapter considers the likely significant noise and vibration effects associated with the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Proposed Project. The specific objectives of the chapter are to:

- Describe the existing noise baseline;
- Describe the assessment methodology and significance criteria used in completing the impact assessment;
- Describe the potential effects (including cumulative effects); and,
- Describe the mitigation measures proposed to address any likely significant effects; and assess the residual noise effects remaining, following the implementation of mitigation.

This EIAR Report is supported by the following figures and appendices:

- Figures
  - Figure 12-1: Construction Noise Assessment Locations;
  - Figure 12-2: Overview of Wind Turbine Operational Noise Assessment Locations;
  - Figure 12-3: Wind Farm Noise Contour Plot
  - Figure 12-4: Cumulative Wind Farm Locations.
  - Figure 12-5: Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Noise Contour Plot
- Appendices
  - Appendix 12-1: Construction Noise Report; and,
  - Appendix 12-2: Wind Turbine Operational Noise Report.
  - Appendix 12-3: Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Operational Noise Report.

Figures and technical appendices are referenced in the text where relevant.

#### 12.1.1.1 Statement of Authority

The noise and vibration assessments were carried out by TNEI Ireland Ltd. TNEI is a specialist energy consultancy with an Acoustics team that has undertaken noise assessments for over 5 GW of onshore wind farm developments. The decommissioning and construction noise assessment was undertaken by Tom Suddaby (BSc), who is an Associate Member of the Institute of Acoustics. The operational noise assessments were undertaken by Jason Baldwin (BSc, Dip) who is an Associate Member of the Institute of Acoustics. The decommissioning and construction assessments were reviewed and approved by Mark Tidewell (BSc, Dip), whilst the BESS operational noise assessment was reviewed and approved by Ewan Watson (BSc, Dip). The operational noise assessment and this EIAR Chapter were reviewed

by James Mackay (BSc, Dip). James is a full member of the Institute of Acoustics and holds the Diploma in Acoustics and Noise Control.

## 12.2

# Legislation, Policy and Guidelines

As well as the guidance listed in Section 1.6 of Chapter 1 of this EIAR, this assessment adhered to the following combination of guidance and assessment methodologies:

- British Standard BS 5228: 2009+A1:2014 'Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open developments'<sup>1</sup>;
- Department of Environment Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) 'Wind Energy Development Guidelines,' 2006<sup>2</sup>;
- The Working Group on Noise from Wind Turbines (NWG) (1996). ETSU-R-97 'The Assessment and Rating of Noise from Wind Farms'<sup>3</sup>;
- Institute of Acoustics 'A Good Practice Guide to the Application of ETSU-R-97 for the Assessment and Rating of Wind Turbine Noise' (2013) (IOA GPG)<sup>4</sup>;
- ISO 9613-2: 1996 'Acoustics - Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors Part 2: General method of calculation'<sup>5</sup>;
- British Standard BS 4142: 2014+A1:2019 'Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound' (2019) (BS 4142)<sup>6</sup>; and,
- Association of Acoustic Consultants of Ireland 'Environmental Noise Guidance for Local Authority Planning & Enforcement Departments'(2021) (AACI Guidelines)<sup>7</sup>.

The above documents are discussed in detail within Appendices 12-1, 12-2 and 12-3 where relevant.

With regards to national planning policy and guidance, it is noted that the Irish Government Wind Energy Development Guidelines for Planning Authorities (2006) (2006 Guidelines) are currently under review. A set of draft updated guidelines were issued for consultation in December 2019 (Draft 2019 Guidelines) but these guidelines have not, at the time of writing, been adopted. In keeping with best international practice, the Draft DoEHLG 2019 Guidelines relied upon some elements of the 'Assessment and Rating of Noise from Wind Farms' (ETSU-R-97) and the Institute of Acoustics 'Good Practice Guidelines to the Application of ETSU-R-97 For the Assessment and Rating of Wind Turbine Noise' (IOA GPG). In June 2024, the UK Government Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) awarded a contract to Noise Consultants Limited to update ETSU-R-97. A draft update of new guidance 'Assessment and Rating of Wind Turbine Noise' (2025) was published for consultation on 4th July 2025. The closing date for consultation responses was the 29th August 2025. Given the draft status of the guidance and the potential for any final update to differ, the consultation draft has not been considered further in this assessment. There is no confirmed data for the publication of final guidance at the time of writing.

Significant concerns were raised during the public consultation process on the Draft DoEHLG 2019 Guidelines, including by a group of wind farm acousticians<sup>8</sup>, regarding the noise section of these draft guidelines and how the authors had misinterpreted existing guidance and incorporated a number of

<sup>1</sup> British Standards Institute, 2014. Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites. Noise. UK : BSI, 2014. BS 5228:2009+A1:2014

<sup>2</sup> Department of Environment Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) 'Wind Energy Development Guidelines,' 2006.

<sup>3</sup> ETSU for the DTI (Department of Trade and Industry), 1996 . The Working Group on Noise from Wind Turbines ETSU-R-97 The Assessment and Rating of Noise from Wind Farms'.

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Acoustics, 2013. Good Practice Guidance on the application of ETSU-R-97 for wind turbine noise assessment.

<sup>5</sup> (ISO), International Organisation for Standardisation. 1996. Acoustics – Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors: Part 2 – General Method of Calculation. Geneva: ISO, 1996. ISO 9613-2:1996

<sup>6</sup> British Standards Institute, 2019. Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound UK : BSI, 2019. BS 4142:2014+A1:2019

<sup>7</sup> Association of Acoustic Consultants of Ireland, 2021. 'Environmental Noise Guidance for Local Authority Planning & Enforcement Departments.

<sup>8</sup> Mackay, J, Singleton, J, Reid, M, Cand, M, Mahon, J, McKenzie, A, Keaney, D, Hayes, M, Bowdler, D, Kelly, D, Jiggins, M, Irvine, G & Lester, M, 2020. Public consultation on the revised wind energy development guidelines: Joint consultation response. Available at: [https://www.tneigroup.com/news\\_event/tnei-submit-joint-consultation-response-and-meet-with-government-regarding-proposed-updates-to-the-irish-wind-farm-noise-guidelines-wedg/](https://www.tneigroup.com/news_event/tnei-submit-joint-consultation-response-and-meet-with-government-regarding-proposed-updates-to-the-irish-wind-farm-noise-guidelines-wedg/)

errors within the technical approaches proposed. In light of these concerns, and the fact that significant changes would need to be made before they could be adopted, an assessment using the Draft DoEHLG 2019 Guidelines is not, in our opinion, technically feasible or appropriate and therefore has not been undertaken.

At the time of writing this report, no further updates on the review process have been issued, however, on the 22 February 2023, a request for tender (RFT) was published for the review and redraft of the 2006 Guidelines by the Department of Environment. Timelines for the review are still unclear however, the Government of Ireland's Climate Action Plan 2024 includes a 2024 Action (EL/24/5) to 'Publish the Revised Wind Energy Development Guidelines for onshore wind.'

The 2006 Guidelines, therefore, remain the relevant statutory guidelines and, as a result, they have been used for this assessment, appropriately supplemented by the guidance in ETSU-R-97 and the IOA GPG, which are considered by TNEI to represent current best practice.

In 2018 the World Health Organisation (WHO) issued noise guidelines '*Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region*<sup>9</sup>' (the WHO Guidelines) that provide recommendations for protecting human health from exposure to environmental noise. The WHO Guidelines consider noise originating from various sources including wind turbine noise. The WHO Guidelines make a series of 'strong' and 'conditional' recommendations. Two conditional recommendations were made in relation to wind turbine noise. In relation to conditional recommendations the WHO Guidelines notes that:

*'A conditional recommendation requires a policy-making process with substantial debate and involvement of various stakeholders. There is less certainty of its efficacy owing to lower quality of evidence of a net benefit, opposing values and preferences of individuals and populations affected or the high resource implications of the recommendation, meaning there may be circumstances or settings in which it will not apply.'*

The WHO Guidelines make recommendations based on noise exposure levels characterised using the  $L_{den}$  parameter.  $L_{den}$  is a weighted annual average sound pressure level over all days, evenings and nights in a year which is commonly used for transportation noise but rarely used for wind turbine noise.

In relation to wind turbine noise the WHO Guidelines state:

*'Based on all these factors, it may be concluded that the acoustical description of wind turbine noise by means of  $L_{den}$  or  $L_{night}$  may be a poor characterization of wind turbine noise and may limit the ability to observe associations between wind turbine noise and health outcomes.'*

*'Further work is required to assess fully the benefits and harms of exposure to environmental noise from wind turbines and to clarify whether the potential benefits associated with reducing exposure to environmental noise for individuals living in the vicinity of wind turbines outweigh the impact on the development of renewable energy policies in the WHO European Region.'*

Notwithstanding the limitations associated with the derivation of the  $L_{den}$  threshold levels, serious concerns have been raised about the practicality of using a threshold which is based on a weighed annual average which cannot actually be measured. Given the strength of recommendation and limitations associated with the use of  $L_{den}$  it is not considered appropriate to undertake an assessment against  $L_{den}$  levels.

The Association of Acoustic Consultants of Ireland (AACI) published the AACI Guidelines in May 2021. The guidance document provides advice to local authority officers involved in the assessment of noise reports, the drafting of noise conditions for planning purposes and permitting and also enforcement activities. Section 17 of the AACI Guidelines covers operational wind farm noise and

---

<sup>9</sup> World Health Organisation, 2018. *Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region*

construction noise guidance is included within Section 27. These are considered further in Section 12.4 below.

## 12.3

## Scoping and Consultation

Comments regarding noise and vibration were included in the Scoping Responses from two consultees, namely the response from Transport Infrastructure Ireland (May 2023), and HSE Environmental Health (May 2023).

The Transport Infrastructure Ireland response states;

*'The EIAR/EIS should consider the Environmental Noise Regulations 2006 (SI 140 of 2006) and, in particular, how the development will affect future action plans by the relevant competent authority. The developer may need to consider the incorporation of noise barriers to reduce noise impacts (see Guidelines for the Treatment of Noise and Vibration in National Road Schemes (1st Rev., National Roads Authority, 2004)).'*

The *Guidelines for the Treatment of Noise and Vibration in National Road Schemes* states;

*"The purpose of this document is to provide guidance on the treatment of noise and vibration during the planning and design of national road schemes."*

It is noted that the document is not intended for use for wind farm development or similar. Nonetheless, the document has been reviewed, as requested by Transport Infrastructure Ireland, to consider whether road traffic noise mitigation needs to be incorporated within the Proposed Project.

Section 2.3.1 of the document provides guidance on when road traffic noise mitigation measures are deemed necessary, however, the Proposed Project does not meet the stated criteria that would warrant the requirement for noise barriers, or any other noise mitigation measures, to be implemented.

Section 2.3.2 of the document provides some guideline noise levels that are "typically deemed acceptable," for construction noise occurring on the road network, however, it is noted that those levels are higher than the levels adopted within this EIAR in respect of the construction noise assessment. Therefore, no further cognisance has been given to these recommended levels (on the understanding that if it meets the noise thresholds adopted within the EIAR assessment it will also meet the National Roads Schemes guidance).

The HSE Environmental Health response sets out a number of requirements for the consideration of noise, including the need to consider construction noise and the requirement for a baseline survey to be conducted. All of the HSE requirements are covered within this EIAR, except a request to consider the draft 2019 Guidelines, due to the significant limitations identified in the draft 2019 Guidelines, as outlined above (Section 12.2).

An additional Scoping Response was issued by HSE on 28th August 2025. Again, this response referred to the WHO documentation and the 2019 Draft WEDG. In addition, the HSE response stated;

*"It should be noted that in the judgement of Ms. Justice Emily Egan delivered on the 8th day of March 2024 in Webster/Rollo V Meenaclogher (Wind) Limited (2024 IEHC 136) 8th March 2024 it was stated very clearly that considerations should be given to the most up to date knowledge and Guidance when considering impacts from noise. This would include the assessment methodology and the known significance of health effects from exposure to noise."*

It is noted that the Webster/Rollo V Meenaclogher (Wind) Limited case is in fact, a noise nuisance case, rather than related to a planning application and/or Environmental Impact Assessment, and as such, we do not consider it directly relevant to this assessment. Nonetheless, TNEI can confirm that the

assessment of wind turbine noise included in this EIAR, has been undertaken in accordance with 'the most up to date knowledge and guidance'.

## 12.4 Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria

### 12.4.1.1 Construction Noise Methodology

There is no published statutory Irish guidance that contains suggested noise limits for construction activities, other than for road construction works, however, the AACI Guidelines states:

*“The chief guidance document applied in the assessment of construction phase noise impacts is British Standard BS 5228:2009+A1:2014 Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites Part 1: Noise (2014)”.*

The construction noise assessment has therefore been undertaken using the BS 5228 guidance. The prediction of construction noise levels was undertaken using the calculation methodology presented in ISO 9613:1996, using noise source data for appropriate construction plant from Annex C of the current version of BS 5228.

To undertake an assessment of the construction noise impact in accordance with the BS 5228 criteria, the following steps have been undertaken:


- Identify NSRs and select representative Construction Noise Assessment Locations (CNALs);
- Identify applicable threshold of significant effects;
- Predict noise levels for various construction noise activities;
- Compare predicted noise levels against the applicable thresholds;
- Where necessary, develop suitable mitigation measures to minimise any significant adverse effects during the construction phase; and, if required,
- Assess any residual adverse effects taking into account any identified mitigation measures.

Of the NSRs identified in the surroundings, a total of 13 have been chosen as CNALs. All 13 are residential properties. The CNALs represent the closest NSRs or clusters of NSRs to the Proposed Project construction activities. The CNAL are summarised in Table 12-1. below and are shown on Figure 12-1.

Table 12-1 Summary of Construction Noise Assessment Locations

| Receptor | ITM Easting | ITM Northing |
|----------|-------------|--------------|
| CNAL1    | 555155      | 751212       |
| CNAL2    | 556364      | 750597       |
| CNAL3    | 557539      | 749986       |
| CNAL4    | 557106      | 748638       |
| CNAL5    | 556373      | 747830       |
| CNAL6    | 556008      | 747356       |

| Receptor | ITM Easting | ITM Northing |
|----------|-------------|--------------|
| CNAL7    | 554905      | 746785       |
| CNAL8    | 554571      | 747565       |
| CNAL9    | 554846      | 748697       |
| CNAL10   | 554950      | 749860       |
| CNAL11   | 555580      | 746389       |
| CNAL12   | 553611      | 747164       |
| CNAL13   | 554565      | 748302       |



### LEGEND

- EIAR Site Boundary
- Cooloo Wind Farm
- CNALs
- Site Access Roads
- Substation and BESS Compounds
- Construction Compound
- New Site Access Roads
- Turbine Hardstandings and Foundations
- Proposed Grid Connection Route

|      |            |                   |       |          |
|------|------------|-------------------|-------|----------|
|      |            |                   |       |          |
|      |            |                   |       |          |
|      |            |                   |       |          |
| 1    | 18/09/2025 | FIGURE EDITS      | FL    | JB       |
| 0    | 25/07/2025 | FIRST ISSUE       | TS    | JB       |
| Rev. | Date       | Amendment Details | Drawn | Approved |

© OpenStreetMap (and) contributors, CC-BY-SA

This drawing should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which TNEI Services Ltd was commissioned. TNEI Services Ltd accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned. Any party which breaches the provisions of this disclaimer shall indemnify TNEI Services Ltd for all loss or damage arising therefrom.

Client

Drawing Status:

FOR PLANNING

Project Title:

COOLOO WIND FARM

Drawing Title:

FIGURE 12.1 - CONSTRUCTION NOISE ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS

|                 |             |                |    |                    |                                    |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Scale:          | 1:20,000    | Original Size: | A3 | Spatial Reference: | IRENET95 Irish Transverse Mercator |
| Drawing Number: | IE00015-018 |                |    |                    |                                    |

The construction phase of the Proposed Project will include civil engineering works, electrical works, and turbine/met mast erection (please refer to Chapter 4 of this EIAR for details). During each phase the plant and equipment, and the associated traffic, would influence the noise generated. The selection of plant and equipment to be used will be determined by the main contractor when they are commissioned, therefore the assessment has been based upon a typical selection of plant for a wind farm project of this size and the indicative construction schedule (included in Chapter 4 of this EIAR). In view of this, the plant has been modelled operating at the closest points to each NSR for a given activity in each construction phase, under a precautionary scenario as it would generate the highest noise levels, whereas in reality only certain plant and equipment will be working at the closest point for short periods of time.

The core hours for the proposed construction works will be 07:00 to 19:00 Monday to Saturday. There will be no working on Sundays and Public Holidays, however, it should be noted that out of necessity some activity outside of the core hours could arise, from delivery and unloading of abnormal loads or health and safety requirements, or to ensure optimal use is made of fair weather windows for concrete deliveries, the erection of turbine blades and the erection and dismantling of cranes.

Chapter 4: Description of the Proposed Project outlines the tasks that will be undertaken during the construction period, which is estimated to be 18-24 months. For the purposes of this assessment, noise modelling has been undertaken for a number of construction scenarios, which simulate the likely overlap of several tasks that could occur throughout the construction period (which is assumed as a maximum of 24 months). The modelled construction scenarios are detailed in Table 12-2 and Table 12-3.

Table 12-2 Summary of Modelled Construction Scenarios

| Scenario | Construction Activities                               | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 01       | Construction of the temporary construction compound   | The temporary construction compound on site is being established                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|          | Provision of new roads and upgrades to existing roads | Construction of new junction and access roads from the R332 up to the substation and BESS compound is occurring. Upgrades to the R332/N63 junction are being carried out.<br><br>Construction of the Proposed Grid Connection underground electrical cabling route has begun. |
| 02       | Operation of the temporary construction compound      | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|          | Provision of new access roads                         | Construction of access tracks up to turbine 4 is occurring.                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|          | Construction of the substation and BESS               | Considers construction of the foundations for the BESS and substation ready for the installation of respective plant.                                                                                                                                                         |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations    | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 1 and 2 is undertaken.                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|          | Deliveries to site                                    | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

| Scenario | Construction Activities                            | Description                                                                                                                    |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 03       | Operation of the temporary construction compound   | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                    |
|          | Construction of the substation and BESS            | Considers construction of the foundations for the proposed BESS and substation ready for the installation of respective plant. |
|          | Provision of new access roads                      | Construction of access tracks up to turbine 9 is occurring.                                                                    |
|          | Felling                                            | Tree felling by the access track leading to turbine 9 is carried out.                                                          |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 3 and 4 is undertaken.                                             |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |
| 04       | Operation of the temporary construction compound   | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                    |
|          | Construction of the proposed substation and BESS   | Considers construction of the foundations for the proposed BESS and substation ready for the installation of respective plant. |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 5 and 6 is undertaken.                                             |
|          | Erection of turbines                               | The erection turbines 1, 2 and 3 is undertaken.                                                                                |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |
| 05       | Operation of the temporary construction compound   | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                    |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 7, 8 and 9 is undertaken.                                          |
|          | Erection of turbines                               | The erection turbines 4, 5 and 6 is undertaken.                                                                                |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |

| Scenario   | Construction Activities                          | Description                                                                                                                      |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 06         | Operation of the temporary construction compound | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                      |
|            | Erection of turbines                             | The erection turbines 7, 8 and 9 is undertaken.                                                                                  |
|            | Deliveries to site                               | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                  |
| 06 (Night) | Operation of the temporary construction compound | Use of generators for lighting and providing power to the welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound. |

Table 12-3 Summary of Modelled Construction Scenarios

| Scenario | Construction Activities                               | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 01       | Construction of the temporary construction compound   | The temporary construction compound on site is being established                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|          | Provision of new roads and upgrades to existing roads | Construction of new junction and access roads from the R332 up to the substation and BESS compound is occurring. Upgrades to the R332/N63 junction are being carried out.<br><br>Construction of the Proposed Grid Connection underground electrical cabling route has begun. |
| 02       | Operation of the temporary construction compound      | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|          | Provision of new access roads                         | Construction of access tracks up to turbine 4 is occurring.                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|          | Construction of the substation and BESS               | Considers construction of the foundations for the BESS and substation ready for the installation of respective plant.                                                                                                                                                         |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations    | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 1 and 2 is undertaken.                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|          | Deliveries to site                                    | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 03       | Operation of the temporary construction compound      | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|          | Construction of the substation and BESS               | Considers construction of the foundations for the proposed BESS and substation ready for the installation of respective plant.                                                                                                                                                |

| Scenario | Construction Activities                            | Description                                                                                                                    |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | Provision of new access roads                      | Construction of access tracks up to turbine 9 is occurring.                                                                    |
|          | Felling                                            | Tree felling by the access track leading to turbine 9 is carried out.                                                          |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 3 and 4 is undertaken.                                             |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |
| 04       | Operation of the temporary construction compound   | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                    |
|          | Construction of the proposed substation and BESS   | Considers construction of the foundations for the proposed BESS and substation ready for the installation of respective plant. |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 5 and 6 is undertaken.                                             |
|          | Erection of turbines                               | The erection turbines 1, 2 and 3 is undertaken.                                                                                |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |
| 05       | Operation of the temporary construction compound   | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                    |
|          | Construction of turbine hardstands and foundations | The construction of foundations and hardstands for turbines 7, 8 and 9 is undertaken.                                          |
|          | Erection of turbines                               | The erection turbines 4, 5 and 6 is undertaken.                                                                                |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |
| 06       | Operation of the temporary construction compound   | The welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound are in operation.                                    |
|          | Erection of turbines                               | The erection turbines 7, 8 and 9 is undertaken.                                                                                |
|          | Deliveries to site                                 | HGV deliveries to the site are occurring via the R332 entrance.                                                                |

| Scenario      | Construction Activities                          | Description                                                                                                                      |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 06<br>(Night) | Operation of the temporary construction compound | Use of generators for lighting and providing power to the welfare facilities located within the temporary construction compound. |

More detailed information on each of the construction scenarios and modelling assumptions can be found within Appendix 12-1 of this EIAR. The noise levels for all Scenarios have been calculated at the CNALs and compared to the appropriate BS 5228 thresholds (detailed in Table E.1, Annex E of BS 5228). It is worth noting that for much of the working day, the noise associated with construction activities will be less than predicted as the assessment has assumed all equipment is constantly operating at full power and is located at the closest point to each receptor, whereas in practice equipment load and precise location will vary.

Construction activities along the Proposed Grid Connection have been assessed qualitatively. These activities will be of short duration and best practice during constructions would minimise any potential impact.

#### 12.4.1.2 Construction Vibration

In relation to potential vibration during the construction phase of the Proposed Project, two sets of vibration limits should be considered: one in regard to potential for damage to buildings and one in regard to the vibration effects on people within buildings.

Threshold values to determine the potential for damage to buildings are detailed in BS 7385-2:1993 (which is also referred to in BS 5228). The unit of measurement used for this assessment method is the Peak Particle Velocity (PPV), which is measured in mm/s or mm.s<sup>-1</sup>. For dwellings, the standard provides the guideline threshold levels, as set out in Table 12-4 below.

Table 12-4 Transient vibration guide values for building damage

| Peak Component Particle Velocity (mm/s)                                                        | Damage Levels for residential buildings |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 15 mm/s PPV for a frequency of 4 Hz, rising to 50 mm/s PPV for a frequency of 40Hz and above.  | Cosmetic                                |
| 30 mm/s PPV for a frequency of 4 Hz, rising to 100 mm/s PPV for a frequency of 40Hz and above. | Minor Damage                            |
| 60 mm/s PPV for a frequency of 4 Hz, rising to 200 mm/s PPV for a frequency of 40Hz and above. | Major Damage                            |

Table B.1 of BS 5228-2, reproduced here as Table 12-5 provides guideline PPV levels that can be used in a construction setting. It is important to note that the levels refer to internal vibration within a building, and not external levels.

Table 12-5 BS 5228-2 Guidance on Effects of Vibration Levels

| Vibration Level (A) (B) (C) | Effect                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0.14 mm.s <sup>-1</sup>     | Vibration might be just perceptible in the most sensitive situations for most vibration frequencies associated with construction. At lower frequencies, people are less sensitive to vibration. |
| 0.3 mm.s <sup>-1</sup>      | Vibration might be just perceptible in residential environments.                                                                                                                                |
| 1.0 mm.s <sup>-1</sup>      | It is likely that vibration of this level in residential environments will cause complaint but can be tolerated                                                                                 |

| Vibration Level (A) (B) (C)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Effect                                                                                                                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | if prior warning and explanation has been given to residents.                                                              |
| 10 mm.s <sup>-1</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Vibration is likely to be intolerable for any more than a very brief exposure to this level in most building environments. |
| <p>(A) The magnitudes of the values presented apply to a measurement position that is representative of the point of entry into the recipient.</p> <p>(B) A transfer function (which relates an external level to an internal level) needs to be applied if only external measurements are available.</p> <p>(C) Single or infrequent occurrences of these levels do not necessarily correspond to the stated effect in every case. The values are provided to give an initial indication of potential effects, and where these values are routinely measured or expected then an assessment in accordance with BS 6472-1 or -2, and/or other available guidance, might be appropriate to determine whether the time varying exposure is likely to give rise to any degree of adverse comment.</p> |                                                                                                                            |

With due regard to the above, external vibration level limits can be set at 15 mm/s PPV for frequencies between 4 Hz and 40 Hz and 50mm/s for frequencies above 40Hz.

Internal PPV limits can be set at somewhere between 1 mm/s<sup>-1</sup> and 10.0 mm/s<sup>-1</sup>, however, it should be noted that the measurement of vibration levels indoors is invasive and can be problematic. It should also be noted that the limits in Table 12-5 are generally considered guideline levels that should not be exceeded regularly or for long periods of time (see note (C) of Table 12-5).

### 12.4.1.3 Operational Wind Turbines Noise Methodology

The assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the 2006 Guidelines. The AACI Guidance states the following in relation to the 2006 Guidelines:

*'The document includes daytime and night-time noise criteria. As criteria included in the document are evidently derived from ETSU-R-97, it is considered more robust to base noise assessments on the ETSU and IOA documents, particularly as the DOEHLG document is somewhat vague. The document has been undergoing a protracted review process for several years.'*


In 2013, the ETSU-R-97 guidance was supplemented by a document produced by the IOA. Given the lack of detail in parts of the 2006 Guidelines, information contained in ETSU-R-97 and the IOA GPG has been used to supplement the 2006 Guidelines.

The 2006 Guidelines include limits for daytime and night-time periods. Consequently, the test applied to operational noise is whether or not the calculated wind farm noise levels at nearby NSRs will be below the noise limits derived in accordance with 2006 Guidelines.

Of the NSRs identified, 10 Noise Assessment Locations (NALs) were selected for a detailed assessment. All are residential properties. The NALs were chosen to represent the noise sensitive receptors located closest to the Proposed Wind Farm site. Predictions of wind turbine noise have been made at each of the NALs as detailed in Table 12-6 and shown on Figure 12-2 and Figure 12-3. This approach ensures that the assessment considers the worst case (loudest) noise immission level expected at the NAL. All other NSRs as shown on Figures 12-2 have also been assessed separately in Appendix 12-2.

Table 12-6 Summary of Operational Noise Assessment Locations

| Receptor        | Easting | Northing | Elevation<br>(m AOD) | Distance to<br>Nearest<br>Turbine (m) | Background<br>Noise Data<br>Used |
|-----------------|---------|----------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| NAL1<br>(NSR17) | 555149  | 751226   | 68                   | 837 (T7)                              | NML3                             |
| NAL2<br>(NSR16) | 556368  | 750618   | 72                   | 813 (T7)                              | NML2                             |
| NAL3 (NSR6)     | 557538  | 749999   | 71                   | 744 (T9)                              | NML2                             |
| NAL4 (NSR1)     | 557118  | 748640   | 75                   | 727 (T8)                              | NML1                             |
| NAL5<br>(NSR15) | 556385  | 747820   | 80                   | 808 (T4)                              | NML7                             |
| NAL6<br>(NSR10) | 556020  | 747382   | 78                   | 765 (T1)                              | NML7                             |
| NAL7<br>(NSR31) | 554906  | 746753   | 86                   | 949 (T1)                              | NML6                             |
| NAL8 (NSR4)     | 554571  | 747565   | 78                   | 733 (T1)                              | NML6                             |
| NAL9 (NSR5)     | 554829  | 748694   | 76                   | 742 (T3)                              | NML5                             |
| NAL10<br>(NSR3) | 554940  | 749857   | 76                   | 731 (T6)                              | NML4                             |




LEGEND

- NALs
- NMLs
- Cooloo Wind Farm
- EIAR Site Boundary

Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs)  
Represented By:

- NML1
- NML2
- NML3
- NML4
- NML5
- NML6
- NML7

| Rev. | Date       | Amendment Details    | Drawn | Approved |
|------|------------|----------------------|-------|----------|
| 2    | 15/09/2025 | FIGURE EDITS         | ST    | JB       |
| 1    | 11/09/2025 | UPDATED HOUSING LIST | FL    | JB       |
| 0    | 11/07/2025 | FIRST ISSUE          | JCM   | JB       |



This drawing should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which TNEI Services Ltd was commissioned. TNEI Services Ltd accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned. Any party which breaches the provisions of this disclaimer shall indemnify TNEI Services Ltd for all loss or damage arising therefrom.



Client



Drawing Status:

FOR INFORMATION

Project Title:

COOLOO WIND FARM

Drawing Title:

FIGURE 12.2 - NOISE MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS

Scale:

1:32,500

Original Size:

A3

Spatial Reference:

IRENET95 Irish Transverse Mercator

Drawing Number:

IE00015-019

© OpenStreetMap (and) contributors, CC-BY-SA



The daytime and night-time periods are not defined within the 2006 Guidelines, therefore the assessment has considered these periods as detailed within ETSU-R-97. The quiet daytime criteria are based upon background noise levels measured during ‘quiet periods of the day’ comprising:

- All weekday evenings from 18:00 to 23:00;
- Saturday afternoons and evenings from 13:00 to 23:00; and,
- All day Sunday 07:00 to 23:00.

For the avoidance of doubt, it should be noted that although the daytime limits are set based upon background data collected only during the quiet daytime period, they apply to the entire daytime period (07:00 – 23:00).

Night-time periods are defined as 23:00 to 07:00, with no differentiation made between weekdays and weekends.

The 2006 Guidelines include guidance on how to derive limits for daytime and night-time periods.

The daytime limits take account of existing background noise levels and include a fixed limit of 45 dB or background + 5 dB, whichever is the greater, except in low background noise environments where a fixed minimum limit in the range 35-40 dB should be considered. TNEIs interpretation of these criteria, is that turbine noise should not exceed for daytime periods:

- 40 dB(A) where background noise levels are below 30 dB; and,
- 45 dB(A) or background noise plus 5 dB, whichever is the greater, where background noise levels are greater than 30.

The daytime fixed minimum limit has been chosen for the daytime period based upon the approach adopted in other recent wind farm consents.

The 2006 Guidelines states that a *“fixed limit of 43 dB(A) will protect sleep inside properties during the night”*, however, whilst it is not explicit within the 2006 Guidelines, the addition of a night-time ‘background noise +5 dB’ parameter is commonly applied in wind turbine noise assessments. This is detailed in numerous examples of planning conditions issued by local authorities. On that basis, the night-time noise limits used in this assessment have been based on 43 dB or background noise + 5 dB, whichever is the greater.

Two sets of noise limits have been derived; the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise limits which apply to the cumulative noise level of all relevant wind turbine developments which are operating, permitted, and proposed in the area, including the Proposed Wind Farm; and the Site Specific Noise Limits which apply to operational noise from the Proposed Wind Farm only. The Site Specific Noise Limits are derived to take account of the proportion of the noise limit that has been allocated to, or could theoretically be used by, other wind farm developments.

The aim of the operational noise assessment is to establish the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits, determine whether a cumulative assessment is required at the nearest NSRs, derive Site Specific Noise Limits and to establish whether the Proposed Wind Farm can operate within those limits. When considering the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit, the aim of the assessment was to determine whether the cumulative noise predictions can operate within the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit.

The exact model of turbine to be installed as part of the Proposed Wind Farm will be the result of a future tendering process and within the dimensions prescribed in this planning application should planning permission be granted. Achievement of the noise limits determined by this assessment will be a key determining requirement in the final choice of turbine for the Proposed Wind Farm. Whichever turbine model is ultimately selected will need to adhere to the limits set within this assessment. This can be achieved through implementation of mitigation measures, such as low-noise modes, where required.

This noise assessment models the Vestas V162 7.2 MW, Vestas V150 6.0 MW, GE 5.3-158 5.3 MW, and Siemens Gamesa SG 155 6.6 MW, which are candidate turbines that fall within the range of turbine dimensions proposed as part of the application as per Chapter 1 Section 1.7.3 (i.e. tip height 180 m, rotor diameter 150 m – 162 m and hub height 99 m – 105 m). The final choice of wind turbine would be subject to a competitive tendering process, but will fall within the range of parameters assessed within this EIAR.

The V162 has been assumed with a proposed hub height of 99m, the V150 105 m, the GE 5.3 101 m, and the SG 155 102.5 m. These candidate turbine models are considered representative of the type of turbine that could be installed at the Proposed Wind Farm site, should a grant of planning permission be received. The modelling results presented within this Chapter are based on the Vestas V162 7.2 MW turbine as that is the loudest turbine at the key wind speed range. Prediction modelling results for the other three candidate turbines are included within Appendix 12-2.

Calculations of operational noise have been undertaken in accordance with International Standard ISO 9613-2, ‘Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors’ (ISO 1996). The model calculates, on an octave band basis, attenuation due to geometric spreading, atmospheric absorption and ground effects. The noise model was set up to provide realistic noise predictions, including mixed ground attenuation ( $G=0.5$ ) and atmospheric attenuation relating to 70 % relative humidity and 10 °C (Section 4.3 of the IOA GPG). The receiver height modelled was 4 m.

Typically, wind farm noise assessments assume all properties are downwind of all turbines at all times (as this would result in the highest wind turbine noise levels). However, where properties are located in between groups of turbines they cannot be downwind of all turbines simultaneously, so it is appropriate to consider the effect of wind direction on predicted noise levels and therefore the impact of directivity has been considered in the assessment (see Section 4.4 of Appendix 12-2).

In line with the IOA GPG, an assessment has been undertaken to determine whether a concave ground profile correction (+3 dB) or barrier correction (-2 dB), is required due to the topography between the turbines and the NSRs. Propagation across a valley (concave ground) increases the number of reflection paths, and in turn, has the potential to increase sound levels at a given receptor. Terrain screening effects (barrier corrections) act as blocking points, subsequently reductions in sound levels at a given receptor can potentially be observed. Some barrier corrections were required for some turbines at several receptors as detailed in Appendix 12-2.

Other topics relating to operational wind farm noise characteristics, such as tonality, Low Frequency Noise (LFN) and amplitude modulation were considered as part of this assessment. There is no evidence that LFN has adverse impacts on the health of wind farm neighbours and has therefore been scoped out - more information on LFN is provided in Appendix 12-2. Tonality associated with wind turbines is well understood and has been considered in accordance with the guidance in ETSU-R-97 and the IOA GPG. The topic of amplitude modulation is considered in more detail below.

#### 12.4.1.4 Amplitude Modulation

In the context of wind turbine noise, Amplitude Modulation (AM) describes a variation in noise level over time; for example, observers may describe a ‘whoosh whoosh’ sound, which can be heard close to a wind turbine as the blades sweep past. The AM of aerodynamic noise is an inherent characteristic of wind turbine noise and was noted in ETSU-R-97, on page 68, which states:

*‘The modulation or rhythmic swish emitted by wind turbines has been considered by some to have a characteristic that is irregular enough to attract attention. The level and depth of modulation of the blade noise is, to a degree, turbine-dependent and is dependent upon the position of the observer. Some wind turbines emit a greater level of modulation of the blade noise than others. Therefore, although some wind turbines might be considered to have a character that may attract one’s attention, others have noise characteristics which are considerably less intrusive and unlikely to attract one’s attention and be subject to any penalty.’*

*This modulation of blade noise may result in a variation of the overall A-weighted noise level by as much as 3dBA (peak to trough) when measured close to a wind turbine. As distance from the wind turbine [or] wind farm increases, this depth of modulation would be expected to decrease as atmospheric absorption attenuates the high frequency energy radiated by the blade.'*

The Acoustics community has sought to make a distinction between the AM discussed within ETSU-R-97, which is expected at most wind farms and as such may be considered as 'Normal Amplitude Modulation' (NAM), compared to the unusual AM that has sometimes been heard at some wind farms, hereinafter referred to as 'Other Amplitude Modulation' (OAM). The term OAM is used to describe an unusual feature of aerodynamic noise from wind turbines, where a greater than normal degree of regular fluctuation in sound level occurs at the blade passing frequency, typically once per second. In some literature it may also be referred to as 'Excess Amplitude Modulation' (EAM). It should be noted that the noise assessment and rating procedure detailed in ETSU-R-97 fully takes into account the presence of the intrinsic level of NAM when setting acceptable noise limits for wind farms.

Persistent OAM can be a source of nuisance to wind farm neighbours. Indeed, in a recent decision of the Irish High Court on the 8th March 2024, the court found that frequent and sustained periods of OAM arising from the operational Ballyduff Wind Farm was an unreasonable interference with a neighbour's use and enjoyment of their property which was located approximately 359 m from the nearest turbine. The issue of damages and/or an injunction were held over for later determination by the court but in the meantime, the court directed all parties to engage in mediation with a view to devising 'appropriate mitigation measures and if possible, to resolve all outstanding issues between them'. In summary, therefore, where persistent and sustained OAM arises mitigation is possible and is the appropriate response.

A significant amount of research has been undertaken in relation to OAM and a summary of the most relevant research is included in Section 3.3 of Appendix 12-2. Key outcomes of the research are that:

- It is clear that OAM, if it occurs frequently and for sustained periods, it has the potential to result in adverse impacts for wind farm neighbours.
- It is not currently possible to predict if and when OAM will occur at a proposed wind farm site. On sites where OAM has been identified it occurs intermittently and varies in terms of severity.
- There are methodologies available that can be used to measure and quantify OAM, in particular the method produced by the Amplitude Modulation Working Group (AMWG), which was formed by the Institute of Acoustics. The methodology was presented in a report 'Methods for Rating Amplitude Modulation in Wind Turbine Noise' which was published in April 2015.
- Whilst it is possible to measure and quantify OAM using the AMWG methodology (which provides an AM rating for each 10 minute period), further study is still required to help quantify what level of OAM, if any, is acceptable. This is complicated by the fact that it is unclear whether a small amount of OAM that occurs regularly is likely to be more (or less) annoying than a large amount of OAM that occurs very infrequently.
- Notwithstanding a lack of an agreed defined threshold detailing what level of OAM is acceptable, there are measures available which have been shown to mitigate OAM should it occur. Measures can include:
  - Changes to the operation of the relevant wind turbine(s) by changing parameters such as blade pitch;
  - Addition of blade furniture (such as vortex generators) to alter the flow of air over the wind turbine blades; and, in extreme cases,
  - Targeted wind turbine shutdowns in specific conditions where OAM is found to occur.

Where mitigation is required, it needs to be designed on a site-specific basis.

To ensure that any future complaints relating to noise and OAM can be responded to appropriately, the Applicant proposes to appoint a community liaison officer who would be the first point of contact in the event that noise complaints were to occur and the mitigation strategy set out in Section 1.7.2 below will be employed.


#### 12.4.1.5 Cumulative Wind Turbine Operational Noise Methodology

The noise assessment considers nearby wind turbine schemes that are operational, permitted and proposed (planning application submitted). The nearby schemes found to be relevant and therefore considered in the assessment are the proposed Clonberne Wind Farm (11 x Vestas V162 7.2 MW) and the existing Cloonlusk Wind Farm (2 x Enercon E82, 2.3 MW).

A cumulative noise assessment was undertaken in accordance with the guidance contained within the IOA GPG. The noise assessment has been undertaken in three separate stages:

- Stage 1 - Establish the 'Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits' which are applicable for all wind farm schemes in the area;
- Stage 2 – undertake a cumulative assessment, comparing Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits with cumulative noise predictions. At this stage, the predicted 'likely' cumulative wind turbine noise levels are the actual levels expected at a noise assessment location; and
- Stage 3 – establish the Proposed Wind Farms Site Specific Noise Limits (at levels at or below the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits, where limit apportionment is required) and compare the noise predictions from the Proposed Wind Farm on its own against the proposed Site Specific Noise Limits.

The location of the turbines modelled, inclusive of those considered in the cumulative noise assessment (Stage 2), are shown in Figure 12-4.



### LEGEND

EIAR Site Boundary

Coolloo Wind Farm

NMLs

NALs

Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs)  
Represented By:

NML1

NML2

NML3

NML4

NML5

NML6

NML7

Cumulative turbines

Clonberne

Cloonlusk

Predicted Wind Turbine Noise dB(A) based  
on GE-158 5.3MW Wind Turbine with  
Standard Blades (5 dB increments)

35 dB(A)

40 dB(A)

45 dB(A)

50 dB(A)

55 dB(A)

|      |            |                   |       |          |
|------|------------|-------------------|-------|----------|
|      |            |                   |       |          |
|      |            |                   |       |          |
|      |            |                   |       |          |
| 1    | 15/09/2025 | FIGURE EDITS      | ST    | JB       |
| 0    | 11/09/2025 | FIRST ISSUE       | FL    | JB       |
| Rev. | Date       | Amendment Details | Drawn | Approved |

© OpenStreetMap (and) contributors, CC-BY-SA

This drawing should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which TNEI Services Ltd was commissioned. TNEI Services Ltd accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned. Any party which breaches the provisions of this disclaimer shall indemnify TNEI Services Ltd for all loss or damage arising therefrom.

Client

Drawing Status:

FOR INFORMATION

Project Title:

COOLOO WIND FARM

Drawing Title:

FIGURE 12.4 - NOISE CONTOUR PLOT

Scale:

1:50,000

Original Size:

A3

Spatial Reference:

IRENET95 Irish Transverse Mercator

Drawing Number:

IE00015-021

### 12.4.1.6 Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Operational Noise Methodology



The Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) noise assessment considered a qualitative assessment, as detailed in BS 4142, which compares predicted noise levels to existing background sound levels whilst also considering the context in which the development occurs.

To predict the noise immission levels attributable to the BESS, a noise propagation model was created using the propriety noise modelling software, CadnaA. Within the software, complex models can be produced to simulate the propagation of noise according to a range of international calculation standards. For this assessment noise propagation was calculated in accordance with ISO9613-2:2024 *Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors: Engineering method for the prediction of sound pressure levels outdoors*. In order to assess the theoretical precautionary scenario, the model did not include the use of specific mitigation measures such as the use of barriers, attenuated louvres, low noise plant or enclosures. The model also assumed that all plant was operating concurrently, continuously and at maximum noise output during downwind directions. As a result, noise level predictions are likely to be higher than what will occur during normal operation.

Of the NSRs identified in the surroundings, a total of 7 were chosen as BESS Noise Assessment Locations (BNALs). All 7 are residential properties. The BNALs represent the closest NSRs or clusters of NSRs to the BESS. The BNALs are summarised in Table 12-7 below and Figure 12-5.

Table 12-7 Summary of BESS Noise Assessment Locations

| Receptor      | ITM Easting | ITM Northing |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| BNAL1 (NSR23) | 554717      | 748406       |
| BNAL2 (NSR33) | 554626      | 748450       |
| BNAL3 (NSR11) | 554786      | 748627       |
| BNAL4 (NSR15) | 556385      | 747820       |
| BNAL5 (NSR10) | 556020      | 747382       |
| BNAL6 (NSR4)  | 554571      | 747565       |
| BNAL7 (NSR49) | 554540      | 748329       |



### LEGEND

Site Layout

BESS Noise Assessment Locations (BNALs)

Noise Monitoring Locations (NMLs)

EIAR Site Boundary

Predicted Noise Levels (dBA)

25-30

30-35

35-40

40-45

45-50

50-55

55-60

60-65

Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs)

Represented By:

NML1

NML2

NML3

NML4

NML5

NML6

NML7

Noise contours modelled in accordance with ISO 9613 Part 2:2024 at a height of 4 m and displayed on a 10 m x 10 m grid.

All noise sources assumed to be operating concurrently.

All levels shown in dB LAeq(t).

| Rev. | Date       | Amendment Details | Drawn | Approved |
|------|------------|-------------------|-------|----------|
| 0    | 17/09/2025 | FIRST ISSUE       | FL    | JB       |

Tuam

Mountbellew

© OpenStreetMap (and) contributors, CC-BY-SA

This drawing should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which TNEI Services Ltd was commissioned. TNEI Services Ltd accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned. Any party which breaches the provisions of this disclaimer shall indemnify TNEI Services Ltd for all loss or damage arising therefrom.

tnei

MKCO

Client

FOR INFORMATION

Project Title:

COOLOO WIND FARM

Drawing Title:

FIGURE 12.5 - BESS NOISE CONTOUR PLOT

Scale:

1:30,000

Original Size:

A3

Spatial Reference:

IRENET95 Irish Transverse Mercator

Drawing Number:

IE00015-0022

## 12.4.3 Potential Effects Scoped Out

### 12.4.3.1 Decommissioning

Activities that occur during the decommissioning of the Proposed Project are unlikely to produce higher noise levels than those produced during construction and many of the activities will be similar in nature. As such it is considered that if construction noise levels are predicted to be below the threshold levels, then decommissioning noise will also be within the threshold levels.

## 12.4.4 Method of Baseline Characterisation

### 12.4.4.1 Extent of the Study Area

Prior to the commencement of the operational noise assessment, initial desktop noise modelling was undertaken in order to identify all NSRs and to select potential Noise Monitoring Locations (NMLs). A total of 439 NSRs were identified within a 2.5 km search area. Seven NMLs were selected to represent background noise levels at all NSRs. The NSRs and NMLs are all shown on Figure 12-2 and coordinates of the NMLs are also included below in Table 12-8. More information can be found in Appendix 12-2.

Construction works along the Proposed Grid Connection have been assessed at the closest NSRs to the water crossing points as these are the locations that would generate the highest levels of noise. At other locations along the Proposed Grid Connection route construction noise would be extremely limited in duration and is therefore assessed qualitatively, rather than through the prediction of noise at specific receptors.

### 12.4.4.2 Field Survey

The noise survey to determine the existing background noise environment at NSRs neighbouring the Proposed Wind Farm was undertaken in accordance with the guidance contained within ETSU-R-97 and current good practice (IOA GPG).

Background noise monitoring was undertaken at 7 NMLs (as shown on Figure 12-2) over the period 7th October 2022 – 31st December 2022 for NMLs 1-6, and to the 5th January 2023 for NML7. NMLs 1-6 were incorrectly setup to stop recording on the 31st December 2022, but this was not the case for NML7. The NMLs were installed, where possible within, or in proximity to, the amenity area at the residential dwellings. The noise monitoring equipment was sited with due consideration of local noise sources such as boiler flues, watercourses, and vegetation. Further details on the NMLs can be found within Appendix 12-2.

Table 12-8 Summary of Noise Monitoring Locations

| Receptor | ITM Easting | ITM Northing |
|----------|-------------|--------------|
| NML1     | 557141      | 748275       |
| NML2     | 557535      | 749999       |
| NML3     | 555042      | 751218       |
| NML4     | 554814      | 749877       |
| NML5     | 554010      | 748665       |

| Receptor | ITM Easting | ITM Northing |
|----------|-------------|--------------|
| NML6     | 554262      | 746953       |
| NML7     | 556015      | 747381       |

Simultaneous wind speed/direction data were recorded within the Proposed Wind Farm at various heights using a meteorological met mast located at ITM coordinates 555726, 749021. The wind speed data collected at 80 m and 60 m was used to calculate wind speed at 105 m (proposed maximum hub height) which was then standardised to 10 m height in accordance with good practice.

Wind speed/direction and rainfall data were collected over the same time scale and averaged over the same ten-minute periods as the noise data to allow analysis of the measured background noise as a function of wind speed and wind direction. All data analysis was undertaken in accordance with ETSU-R-97 and the IOA GPG. There were no data limitations.

## 12.4.5 Criteria for the Assessment of Effects

The Environmental Protection Agency document ‘*Guidelines on the information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports*’<sup>10</sup> has been adhered to for the assessment of potential effects as summarised below.

### 12.4.5.1 Criteria for Assessing Significance – Construction Noise

The significance criteria adopted for this assessment are based on Appendix E part E.3.2 of BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014 and detailed in Table 12-9 below.

Table 12-9 Construction Noise Significance Criteria

| Significance of Effect                                                                                                                                                                                               | Significance Level        |                           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Not Significant           | Potentially Significant   |
| Category A<br>Daytime (07:00 – 19:00) and<br>Saturdays (07:00 to 13:00)                                                                                                                                              | ≤65dB L <sub>Aeq, T</sub> | >65dB L <sub>Aeq, T</sub> |
| Category A<br>Evenings and Weekends (19:00 – 23:00)                                                                                                                                                                  | <55dB L <sub>Aeq, T</sub> | >55dB L <sub>Aeq, T</sub> |
| Category A<br>Night-time (23:00 – 07:00)                                                                                                                                                                             | <45dB L <sub>Aeq, T</sub> | >45dB L <sub>Aeq, T</sub> |
| <i>Note: The L<sub>Aeq</sub> is the A-weighted, equivalent continuous sound level in decibels measured over a stated period of time, (L<sub>Aeq, T</sub>) where T is the length of the assessment period (Time).</i> |                           |                           |

It should be noted that exceedance of the limit does not in itself indicate a significant effect, rather, the standard states ‘*If the site noise level exceeds the appropriate category value, then a potential significant effect is indicated. The assessor then needs to consider other project-specific factors, such as the number*

<sup>10</sup> The Environmental Protection Agency, 2022. *Guidelines on the information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports*

*of receptors affected and the duration and character of the impact, to determine if there is a significant effect.'*

#### 12.4.5.2 Criteria for Assessing Significance – Wind Turbine Operational Noise

The 2006 Guidelines and ETSU-R-97 do not define significance criteria but describe a framework for the measurement of wind farm noise and give indicative noise levels considered to offer a reasonable degree of protection to wind farm neighbours, without placing unreasonable restrictions on wind farm development. Achievement of the 2006 Guidelines derived noise limits ensures that noise from the Proposed Wind Farm will comply with current Government guidance.

In terms of the EIA Regulations, in this noise and vibration assessment the use of the term “significance” refers to compliance or non-compliance with the 2006 Guidelines derived noise limits. For situations where predicted wind turbine noise meets or is less than the noise limits defined in 2006 Guidelines, then the noise effects are deemed not significant. Any breach of the derived Total 2006 Guidelines or Site Specific Noise Limits due to the Proposed Wind Farm has the potential to result in a significant effect.

It is not possible to predict if OAM will occur at any given site and if it does, how frequent and sustained it might be. In the event that OAM occurs frequently and for sustained periods, it has the potential to result in negative impacts.

#### 12.4.5.3 Criteria for Assessing Significance – BESS Operational Noise

The British Standard (BS) 4142:2014+A1:2019 Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound BS 4142 (hereafter referred to as BS 4142) form of assessment is based on the predicted or measured levels of an assessed sound source compared to the measured background sound levels without the specific sound source present and uses, *“outdoor sound levels to assess the likely effects of sound on people who might be inside or outside a dwelling or premises used for residential purposes upon which sound is incident”*. The BS 4142 Assessment Method is outlined in further detail in Section 3.1.1 of Appendix 12-3.

BS 4142 does not define significance criteria; rather it describes a framework for the measurement of noise and provides a method to determine the likelihood of adverse impact.

The assessment is undertaken in two parts; firstly, a comparison is made between the Rating Level and the Background Sound Level. Secondly, the assessment considers the context in which the sound occurs to determine a qualitative assessment outcome. As such there is no definitive pass/ fail. This is described in the standard as follows:

*“Obtain an initial estimate of the impact of the specific sound by subtracting the measured background sound level from the rating level, and consider the following...*

- a) Typically, the greater this difference, the greater the magnitude of the impact.*
- b) A difference of around +10 dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context.*
- c) A difference of around +5 dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context.*
- d) The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or a significant adverse impact.*

*Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact, depending on the context.”*

To determine a Magnitude of Impact, the following criteria has been adopted;

- Where BS 4142 indicates a significant adverse impact, this is a Major Magnitude of Impact;
- Where BS 4142 indicates adverse impact, this is a Moderate Magnitude of Impact;
- Where BS 4142 indicates no adverse impact, this is a Minor Magnitude of Impact;
- Where the BS 4142 Rating Level is less than the measured background sound levels, this is a Negligible Magnitude of Impact.

With due regard to the sensitivity of the assessed residential receptors being high, the following criteria has been adopted to determine the significance criteria;

- Where a Major Magnitude of Impact is predicted, this is a Major Significant Effect;
- Where a Moderate Magnitude of Impact is predicted, this is a Moderate Significant Effect;
- Where a Minor Magnitude of Impact is predicted, this is a Minor Significant Effect;

Where a Negligible Magnitude of Impact is predicted, this is a Negligible Significant Effect.

#### 12.4.5.4 Limitations and Assumptions

The noise data collected during the background noise survey are representative of the typical background noise levels at the nearest NSRs. The guidance in the 2006 Guidelines supplemented by ETSU-R-97 and the IOA GPG has been followed by suitably experienced Acoustic Consultants to ensure that the data collected is as representative as possible.

For the assessment locations where no background noise measurements were undertaken, noise data collected at proxy locations deemed representative of the background noise environment was used to assess the noise impacts at those receptors.

For construction noise, predictions have been undertaken based on an indicative construction programme and typical activities expected.

As detailed in Section 1.7.3 in Chapter 1 of this EIAR, various types and sizes of wind turbines, within the proposed ranges, have been selected and considered in the relevant sections of the EIAR. For the noise and vibration assessment, four candidate wind turbine models have been used for predictions of operational noise from the Proposed Wind Farm, whilst the final model of wind turbine to be used may differ from that presented in this assessment, operational noise levels would have to comply with the noise limits imposed by the Local Authority, derived in this noise assessment.

Representative candidate plant were modelled for the BESS noise predictions.

No other assumptions or data gaps have been identified.

## 12.5 Baseline Conditions

### 12.5.1 Current Baseline

The Proposed Wind Farm is located within a rural location where existing background noise levels at the NSRs are generally considered to be low (<30 dB at low wind speeds as defined in the 2006

Guidelines<sup>11</sup>). The predominant sound sources in the area are wind induced noise (wind passing through vegetation and around buildings) and birdsong, with cars on local roads also audible on occasions.

Table 12-10 and Table 12-11 provide a summary of the background noise levels measured during the monitoring period during the quiet daytime and night-time periods. Background noise data recorded during periods of rainfall (including the preceding 10-minute period in line with IOA GPG) have been excluded from the dataset, as well as other atypical data in accordance with best practice. Further information of the data recorded during the noise survey can be found in Appendix 12-2.

Table 12-10 Summary of Prevailing Background Noise Levels during Quiet Daytime Periods (dB(A))

| Noise Monitoring Location | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                           | 1                                                            | 2     | 3     | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| NML1                      | 30.1*                                                        | 30.1* | 30.1* | 30.1 | 30.5 | 31.4 | 32.9 | 34.9 | 37.5 | 40.6 | 44.3 | 48.5 |
| NML2                      | 24.9*                                                        | 24.9* | 24.9  | 25.4 | 26.5 | 28.3 | 30.6 | 33.4 | 36.6 | 40.2 | 44.2 | 48.4 |
| NML3                      | 22.3*                                                        | 22.3* | 22.3  | 22.6 | 23.7 | 25.6 | 28.1 | 31.3 | 35   | 39.3 | 44.1 | 49.3 |
| NML4                      | 34.4*                                                        | 34.4* | 34.4  | 34.5 | 34.9 | 35.5 | 36.5 | 37.9 | 39.8 | 42.3 | 45.5 | 49.4 |
| NML5                      | 26.3*                                                        | 26.3* | 26.3  | 26.7 | 27.5 | 28.7 | 30.3 | 32.6 | 35.5 | 39.3 | 43.9 | 49.6 |
| NML6                      | 28.6*                                                        | 28.6  | 29.0  | 29.5 | 30.2 | 31.1 | 32.4 | 34.1 | 36.3 | 39.2 | 42.7 | 47.1 |
| NML7                      | 30.8*                                                        | 30.8  | 30.9  | 31.6 | 32.8 | 34.6 | 37   | 39.9 | 43.4 | 47.4 | 52   | 57.2 |

\* Flatlined where derived minimum occurs at lower wind speeds, see Section 5.8 of Appendix 12.2: Operational Noise Report.

Table 12-11 Summary of Prevailing Background Noise Levels during Night-time Periods (dB(A))

| Noise Monitoring Location | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                           | 1                                                            | 2     | 3     | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| NML1                      | 28.0*                                                        | 28.0* | 28.0* | 28.0 | 28.3 | 29.3 | 30.8 | 32.9 | 35.6 | 38.9 | 42.8 | 47.3 |
| NML2                      | 18.5*                                                        | 18.5* | 18.5  | 18.7 | 20.2 | 22.7 | 26.1 | 30.1 | 34.7 | 39.5 | 44.4 | 49.3 |
| NML3                      | 16.7*                                                        | 16.7* | 16.7  | 16.9 | 18.3 | 20.6 | 23.8 | 27.9 | 32.6 | 38.0 | 43.8 | 50.2 |
| NML4                      | 33.3*                                                        | 33.3  | 33.1  | 33.1 | 33.3 | 33.9 | 34.9 | 36.4 | 38.4 | 41.0 | 44.4 | 48.4 |
| NML5                      | 19.1*                                                        | 19.1* | 19.1  | 19.2 | 20.3 | 22.1 | 24.8 | 28.1 | 32.1 | 36.7 | 41.7 | 47.2 |
| NML6                      | 20.3*                                                        | 20.3* | 20.3* | 20.3 | 21.2 | 22.9 | 25.3 | 28.3 | 31.8 | 35.7 | 39.9 | 44.2 |
| NML7                      | 22.1*                                                        | 22.1  | 22.6  | 23.9 | 25.8 | 28.4 | 31.7 | 35.7 | 40.3 | 45.7 | 51.8 | 58.5 |

<sup>11</sup> Section 5.4 of the DoEHLG 2006 Guidelines refers to 'low noise environments where background noise is less than 30 dB(A)'

| Noise Monitoring Location | Wind Speed ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ ) as standardised to 10m height |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
|                           | 1                                                             | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

\* Flatlined where derived minimum occurs at lower wind speeds, see Section 5.8 of Appendix 12.2: Operational Noise Report.

## 12.5.2 Future Baseline

It is possible that noise propagation and resulting noise emission levels could change over the life of the project due to climate change (as noise attenuation is influenced by air temperature, relative humidity, and ground conditions). However, noise limits are set based on current background noise levels in the absence of wind turbine noise and would be set for the lifetime of the Proposed Project. The operator would be required to meet them for the duration of the consent.

## 12.5.3 Summary of Sensitive Receptors

### 12.5.3.1 Scoped Out Receptors

All of the buildings within a c. 2.5 km of the Proposed Wind Farm turbines have been identified. Of the 439 buildings identified, a number have been identified as planning applications. These locations are also considered to be NSRs for the purposes of this assessment. The locations of the NSRs are presented in Figure 12-2.

### 12.5.3.2 Scoped In Receptors

Of all the NSRs identified within the 2.5 km search area (see Figure 12-2) around the Proposed Wind Farm, a sample of the nearest and likely to be most sensitive to noise were selected as Construction Noise Assessment Locations (CNALs), Noise Assessment Locations (NALs) or Battery Noise Assessment Locations (BNALs). These were chosen to represent the noise sensitive receptors located closest to the Proposed Project during either the construction or operational phase.

For the Proposed Grid Connection, the onsite 110kV substation and associated BESS compound are located within the Proposed Wind Farm site and as such are addressed above. Rather than identifying individual NSRs on the Proposed Grid Connection, the construction noise assessment considers the typical noise levels that are likely to occur along the length of the route.

The assessment results for the CNALs, NALs, and BNALS have been presented within the main body of this report, whilst operational noise results for all other NSRs have been included for completeness within Annex 3 of Appendix 12-1, and Annex 5 of both Appendices 12-2 and 12-3.

For the assessment locations where no background noise measurements were undertaken, noise data collected at proxy locations deemed representative of the background noise environment was used to assess the noise impacts at those receptors.

## 12.6 Assessment of Likely Effects

### 12.6.1 Potential Construction Noise Effects

Table 12-12 presents the thresholds from BS5228 Category A (lowest thresholds in the ABC method) and the calculated noise emission levels at each CNAL for all modelled scenarios. Full details of the modelling and assessment can be found in Appendix 12-1.

Table 12-12 Predicted Precautionary Scenario Construction Noise Immission Levels

| CNAL   | Category A Threshold dB<br>$L_{Aeq, t}$                            |                                                                                                                    |                                         | Emission Level, dB $L_{Aeq, t}$ for each Scenario (S) |                |                |                |                |                |             |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
|        | Daytime<br>(07:00 – 19:00)<br>and<br>Saturday<br>s (07:00 – 13:00) | Evenings<br>(19:00-23:00<br>weekdays.)<br>Weekends<br>(13:00-23:00<br>Saturdays<br>and 07:00-<br>23:00<br>Sundays) | Night-<br>Time<br>(23:00<br>–<br>07:00) | S1<br>Y1Q<br>1                                        | S2<br>Y1Q<br>2 | S3<br>Y1Q<br>3 | S4<br>Y1Q<br>4 | S5<br>Y2Q<br>1 | S6<br>Y2Q<br>2 | S7<br>Night |
| CNAL01 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 24                                                    | 30             | 36             | 33             | 39             | 38             | 9           |
| CNAL02 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 25                                                    | 32             | 44             | 37             | 43             | 42             | 10          |
| CNAL03 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 24                                                    | 32             | 40             | 34             | 42             | 42             | 10          |
| CNAL04 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 29                                                    | 37             | 42             | 39             | 43             | 41             | 15          |
| CNAL05 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 32                                                    | 41             | 42             | 41             | 41             | 36             | 20          |
| CNAL06 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 39                                                    | 44             | 41             | 44             | 40             | 38             | 26          |
| CNAL07 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 47                                                    | 47             | 46             | 47             | 46             | 46             | 35          |
| CNAL08 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 48                                                    | 49             | 48             | 49             | 47             | 47             | 35          |
| CNAL09 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 36                                                    | 47             | 47             | 47             | 40             | 37             | 23          |
| CNAL10 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 29                                                    | 37             | 40             | 41             | 42             | 38             | 15          |
| CNAL11 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 60                                                    | 40             | 38             | 39             | 38             | 37             | 26          |
| CNAL12 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 60                                                    | 42             | 41             | 44             | 44             | 42             | 26          |
| CNAL13 | 65                                                                 | 55                                                                                                                 | 45                                      | 39                                                    | 50             | 49             | 50             | 40             | 38             | 26          |

The Proposed Wind Farm construction noise assessment results show that the predicted construction noise levels are below the 65 dB(A) threshold Level at all CNALs for all Scenarios.

At CNAL11 and 12 noise levels will be above the evening and weekend 55 dBA threshold levels. Whilst this is unlikely to result in a significant effect, as duration of exposure will be limited, it is recommended that construction activities are not undertaken in proximity to these properties outside of normal daytime working hours (Mon-Fri 07:00 to 19:00 and Saturday 07:00 to 13:00).

No construction activities are proposed during the night-time, however, a night-time scenario (Scenario 7) is included in the assessment in case of generator usage at night, for infrastructure such as welfare facilities and lighting only. The predicted noise levels for this scenario are comfortably below the night-time 45 dBA threshold level.

Accordingly, the impact is deemed **not significant for construction noise effects**.

For the Proposed Grid Connection, the amount of required plant is relatively small, typically being based around an excavator for trenching and backfill activities. As such, construction activities in any one location will be limited in duration and adverse noise effects are anticipated to be negligible. Chapter 4 of the EIAR describes the construction of the Proposed Grid Connection underground electrical cable trench in more detail.

Where construction activities occur besides a dwelling the noise levels at that location are likely to be in the region of 75 – 80 dB(A) for a short period of time. It should be noted, however, that this would only occur where construction activities are directly opposite a dwelling within approximately 20 m and this would result in an instant noise level increase (i.e. not considering a full construction day). To put this into context, trenching and backfill activities are anticipated to move along the Proposed Grid Connection at approximately 150 m to 300 m a day, therefore, the length of time when construction activities will be occurring adjacent to any given receptor is only likely to be for a few hours. For the majority of the time, plant and equipment will be located at greater distances and noise levels will be lower.

It is possible that noise levels from trenching and backfill operations may occasionally exceed the BS 5228 threshold if within 20 m of a dwelling, however this would only occur for a short period of time at any one location. Accordingly, the impact is not deemed significant.

There are 8 no. identified watercourse crossings along the Proposed Grid Connection. The cable route will primarily seek to use culverts, however, if this is not suitable there may be a requirement for Directional Drilling (DD). DD typically requires the use of multiple items of plant including pumps, mud recyclers, drilling rigs and generators, however, due to the relatively small size of the watercourses the plant is anticipated to be a Vermeer D36 x 50 Directional Drill (or similar), which is much smaller than many DD rigs and requires less associated plant. Additionally, for small crossings, the work would likely be completed within 1 to 2 weeks, therefore is considered a short-term activity.

Calculations of the Vermeer DD rig, assuming a source noise level of 94 dBA at 1 m, and a tracked excavator (using data from BS5228), indicates that noise levels would be below the 65dBA threshold from a distance of approximately 40 m. One of the 8 no. identified watercrossings have been identified as having a dwelling within 40 m, as such noise mitigation measures should be considered in line with the guidance presented in BS 5228 to lessen the impact. This could include the erection of temporary boarding alongside the drilling rig or use of ‘acoustic blanket panels’ to hang from temporary fencing (‘Heras’ or similar). This should be installed as close to the drilling rig as is practicable and fitted so as to interrupt any direct line of site between the drilling rig and the closest receptors. Examples of appropriate products include Echo Noise Defender and Soundex DeciBloc.

Accordingly, the impact is deemed **not significant for construction activities associated with cable trenching.**

For the water crossings along the Proposed Grid Connection the impact is **not significant for 7 of the 8 locations but potentially significant (depending on duration of the HDD activity) at 1 location.**

## 12.6.2 Potential Construction Vibration Effects

Due to the separation distances between the construction activity areas on the Proposed Wind Farm site and the nearest receptors, no significant effects are anticipated. Where construction activities on the Proposed Grid Connection are close to residential receptors, some local vibration effects may be present, however, levels are expected to be low and of limited duration. Also, similarly to construction noise, good practice during construction will be implemented and will reduce vibration levels from these short-term works to minimum levels. Accordingly, the impact is deemed **not significant for construction vibration.**

## 12.6.3 Potential Operational Noise Effects

### 12.6.3.1 Setting the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits (Stage 1)

Based on the prevailing background noise levels, the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits have been established for each of the NALs as detailed in Table 12-13 and Table 12-14 below.

Table 12-13 Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit - Daytime

| Noise Assessment Location | Wind Speed ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                           | 1                                                             | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| NAL1                      | 40.0                                                          | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 49.1 | 54.3 |
| NAL2                      | 40.0                                                          | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2 | 53.4 |
| NAL3                      | 40.0                                                          | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2 | 53.4 |
| NAL4                      | 45.0                                                          | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.6 | 49.3 | 53.5 |
| NAL5                      | 45.0                                                          | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 52.4 | 57.0 | 62.2 |
| NAL6                      | 45.0                                                          | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 52.4 | 57.0 | 62.2 |
| NAL7                      | 40.0                                                          | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 47.7 | 52.1 |
| NAL8                      | 40.0                                                          | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 47.7 | 52.1 |
| NAL9                      | 40.0                                                          | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.9 | 54.6 |
| NAL10                     | 45.0                                                          | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 47.3 | 50.5 | 54.4 |

Table 12-14 Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit - Night-time

| Noise Assessment Location | Wind Speed ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                           | 1                                                             | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| NAL1                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 48.8 | 55.2 |
| NAL2                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.5 | 49.4 | 54.3 |
| NAL3                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.5 | 49.4 | 54.3 |
| NAL4                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.9 | 47.8 | 52.3 |
| NAL5                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 50.7 | 56.8 | 63.5 |
| NAL6                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 50.7 | 56.8 | 63.5 |
| NAL7                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.9 | 49.2 |
| NAL8                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.9 | 49.2 |
| NAL9                      | 43.0                                                          | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 46.7 | 52.2 |

| Noise Assessment Location | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                           | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| NAL10                     | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.4 | 46.0 | 49.4 | 53.4 |

### 12.6.3.2 Predicting the Likely Effects and the Requirement for a Cumulative Noise Assessment (Stage 2)

A likely cumulative noise assessment was undertaken at the NALs and the results of the cumulative assessment are shown in Table 12-15 and Table 12-16 below. The Tables detail the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits and predicted likely cumulative Proposed Wind Farm noise levels for daytime hours and night-time hours, when using the Vestas V162 7.2 MW with Serrated Blades on a 105 m hub, as the precautionary scenario candidate wind turbine for the Proposed Wind Farm.

The result of the likely cumulative noise assessment show that the Proposed Wind Farm can operate concurrently with the other operational and permitted wind farms in the area, whilst still meeting the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise limits at all NALs and as such there would be **no significant effects** at those receptors.

It is not possible to predict if OAM will occur at the NALs surrounding this Proposed Project and if it does, how frequent and sustained it might be. In the event that frequent and sustained OAM occurs there is the potential for this to result in an adverse impact in the absence of mitigation.

Table 12-15 Compliance Table – Comparison of predicted likely cumulative noise levels (all schemes) against the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit at each receptor - Daytime

| NAL  |                                              | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|      |                                              | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
| NAL1 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 49.1  | 54.3  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 31.6 | 35.1 | 36.9 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2  | 37.2  |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -8.4 | -4.9 | -3.1 | -7.8 | -7.8 | -7.8 | -11.9 | -17.1 |
| NAL2 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2  | 53.4  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 34.7 | 38.1 | 39.9 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2  | 40.2  |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -5.3 | -1.9 | -5.1 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -5   | -9    | -13.2 |
| NAL3 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2  | 53.4  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 34.4 | 37.8 | 39.7 | 40   | 40   | 40   | 40    | 40    |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -5.6 | -2.2 | -5.3 | -5   | -5   | -5.2 | -9.2  | -13.4 |
| NAL4 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.6 | 49.3  | 53.5  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.4 | 38.8 | 40.7 | 41   | 41   | 41   | 41    | 41    |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -9.6 | -6.2 | -4.3 | -4   | -4   | -4.6 | -8.3  | -12.5 |

| NAL  |                                              | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |      |       |       |       |
|------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|      |                                              | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10    | 11    | 12    |
| NAL5 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 52.4  | 57.0  | 62.2  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.5  | 38.9  | 40.8 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 41.1  | 41.1  | 41.1  |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -9.5  | -6.1  | -4.2 | -3.9 | -7.3 | -11.3 | -15.9 | -21.1 |
| NAL6 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 52.4  | 57.0  | 62.2  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.1  | 38.6  | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  | 40.7  |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -9.9  | -6.4  | -4.6 | -4.3 | -7.7 | -11.7 | -16.3 | -21.5 |
| NAL7 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 47.7  | 52.1  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 30.9  | 34.3  | 36.2 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5  | 36.5  | 36.5  |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -14.1 | -10.7 | -8.8 | -8.5 | -8.5 | -8.5  | -11.2 | -15.6 |
| NAL8 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 47.7  | 52.1  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 33.6  | 37    | 38.9 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1  | 39.1  | 39.1  |
|      | Exceedance Level                             | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -11.4 | -8    | -6.1 | -5.9 | -5.9 | -5.9  | -8.6  | -13   |
| NAL9 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit            | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 40.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 48.9  | 54.6  |

| NAL   |                                                             | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|       |                                                             | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
|       | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.1  | 38.5 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -4.9  | -1.5 | -4.6 | -4.3 | -4.3 | -4.3 | -8.2  | -13.9 |
| NAL10 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 47.3 | 50.5  | 54.4  |
|       | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 34.9  | 38.3 | 40.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4  | 40.4  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -10.1 | -6.7 | -4.8 | -4.6 | -4.6 | -6.9 | -10.1 | -14   |

Table 12-16 Compliance Table – Comparison of predicted likely cumulative noise levels (all schemes) against the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit at each receptor – Night-time

| NAL  |                                                             | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
|      |                                                             | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12   |
| NAL1 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 48.8  | 55.2 |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 31.6  | 35.1 | 36.9 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2  | 37.2 |
|      | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -11.4 | -7.9 | -6.1 | -5.8 | -5.8 | -5.8 | -11.6 | -18  |
| NAL2 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.5 | 49.4  | 54.3 |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 34.7  | 38.1 | 39.9 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2  | 40.2 |

| NAL  |                                                             | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|      |                                                             | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
|      | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -8.3 | -4.9 | -3.1 | -2.8 | -2.8 | -4.3 | -9.2  | -14.1 |
| NAL3 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.5 | 49.4  | 54.3  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 34.4 | 37.8 | 39.7 | 40   | 40   | 40   | 40    | 40    |
|      | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -8.6 | -5.2 | -3.3 | -3   | -3   | -4.5 | -9.4  | -14.3 |
| NAL4 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.9 | 47.8  | 52.3  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.4 | 38.8 | 40.7 | 41   | 41   | 41   | 41    | 41    |
|      | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -7.6 | -4.2 | -2.3 | -2   | -2   | -2.9 | -6.8  | -11.3 |
| NAL5 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 50.7 | 56.8  | 63.5  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.5 | 38.9 | 40.8 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 41.1  | 41.1  |
|      | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -7.5 | -4.1 | -2.2 | -1.9 | -4.2 | -9.6 | -15.7 | -22.4 |
| NAL6 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 50.7 | 56.8  | 63.5  |
|      | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.1 | 38.6 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  |

| NAL   |                                                             | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|       |                                                             | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
|       | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -7.9  | -4.4 | -2.6 | -2.3 | -4.6 | -10  | -16.1 | -22.8 |
| NAL7  | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.9  | 49.2  |
|       | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 30.9  | 34.3 | 36.2 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5  | 36.5  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -12.1 | -8.7 | -6.8 | -6.5 | -6.5 | -6.5 | -8.4  | -12.7 |
| NAL8  | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.9  | 49.2  |
|       | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 33.6  | 37   | 38.9 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1  | 39.1  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -9.4  | -6   | -4.1 | -3.9 | -3.9 | -3.9 | -5.8  | -10.1 |
| NAL9  | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 46.7  | 52.2  |
|       | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 35.1  | 38.5 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                            | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | -7.9  | -4.5 | -2.6 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -6    | -11.5 |
| NAL10 | Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limit                           | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.4 | 46.0 | 49.4  | 53.4  |
|       | Predicted Cumulative Wind Turbine Noise<br>L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | -    | 34.9  | 38.3 | 40.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4  | 40.4  |

| NAL |                  | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |   |   |   |      |      |      |      |    |      |    |     |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|------|----|------|----|-----|
|     |                  | 1                                                            | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9  | 10   | 11 | 12  |
|     | Exceedance Level | -                                                            | - | - | - | -8.1 | -4.7 | -2.8 | -2.6 | -3 | -5.6 | -9 | -13 |

### 12.6.3.3 Operational Phase - Derivation of Site-Specific Noise Limits for the Proposed Wind Farm (Stage 3)

In order to protect residential amenity, the initial recommendations are that cumulatively, all wind farms (including the Proposed Wind Farm) operate within the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits, as demonstrated in the Stage 2 above.

Another recommendation is that each wind farm should operate within their own limit, whilst the cumulative situation of Stage 2 is still met. To allow this to occur, a set of Site Specific Noise limits for the Proposed Wind Farm are required.

The Site Specific Noise Limits have been derived to take account of the proportion of the noise limit that has been allocated to, or could theoretically be used by, other wind farm developments in proximity to the Proposed Wind Farm.

The Site Specific Noise Limits were compared to the predictions of the Proposed Wind Farm operating on its own, and the results, based on the precautionary scenario candidate turbine for the Proposed Wind Farm (Vestas V162), are summarised below in Table 12-17 for the daytime and Table 12-18 for the night-time. More details on the calculation of the Site Specific Noise Limits and predictions for the other three candidate wind turbines are provided in Appendix 12-2 and show similar predictions and outcomes when compared to the V162.

The Stage 3 assessment shows that the predicted wind turbine noise levels from the Proposed Wind Farm on its own meet the Site Specific Noise Limits at all NALs for both daytime and night time periods, there would be **no significant effects** at those receptors.

Table 12-17 Compliance Table – Comparison of predicted noise levels from the Proposed Wind Farm against the SSNL at each receptor – Daytime

| NAL  |                                                   | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|      |                                                   | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
| NAL1 | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 49.1  | 54.3  |
|      | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 28.3  | 31.5 | 34.9 | 36.8 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 37.1  | 37.1  |
|      | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -11.7 | -8.5 | -5.1 | -3.2 | -7.9 | -7.9 | -7.9 | -12.0 | -17.2 |
| NAL2 | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2  | 53.4  |
|      | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.5  | 34.6 | 38.1 | 39.9 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2  | 40.2  |
|      | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -8.5  | -5.4 | -1.9 | -5.1 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -5.0 | -9.0  | -13.2 |
| NAL3 | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2  | 53.4  |
|      | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.2  | 34.3 | 37.8 | 39.6 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9  | 39.9  |
|      | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -8.8  | -5.7 | -2.2 | -5.4 | -5.1 | -5.1 | -5.3 | -9.3  | -13.5 |
| NAL4 | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.6 | 49.3  | 53.5  |
|      | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 32.2  | 35.4 | 38.8 | 40.6 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 40.9  | 40.9  |
|      | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -12.8 | -9.6 | -6.2 | -4.4 | -4.1 | -4.1 | -4.7 | -8.4  | -12.6 |
| NAL5 | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 52.4 | 57.0  | 62.2  |
|      | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 32.3  | 35.4 | 38.8 | 40.7 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0  | 41.0  |

| NAL   |                                                   | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |       |       |       |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|       |                                                   | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10    | 11    | 12    |
|       | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -12.7 | -9.6  | -6.2  | -4.3 | -4.0 | -7.4 | -11.4 | -16.0 | -21.2 |
| NAL6  | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 52.4  | 57.0  | 62.2  |
|       | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 32.0  | 35.1  | 38.5  | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  | 40.7  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -13.0 | -9.9  | -6.5  | -4.6 | -4.3 | -7.7 | -11.7 | -16.3 | -21.5 |
| NAL7  | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 47.7  | 52.1  |
|       | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 27.7  | 30.9  | 34.3  | 36.2 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 36.4  | 36.4  | 36.4  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -12.3 | -14.1 | -10.7 | -8.8 | -8.6 | -8.6 | -8.6  | -11.3 | -15.7 |
| NAL8  | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 47.7  | 52.1  |
|       | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 30.4  | 33.5  | 37.0  | 38.8 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1  | 39.1  | 39.1  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -9.6  | -11.5 | -8.0  | -6.2 | -5.9 | -5.9 | -5.9  | -8.6  | -13.0 |
| NAL9  | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 40.0                                                         | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0  | 40.0  | 40.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 48.9  | 54.6  |
|       | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.9  | 35.1  | 38.5  | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  | 40.7  |
|       | Exceedance Level                                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -8.1  | -4.9  | -1.5  | -4.6 | -4.3 | -4.3 | -4.3  | -8.2  | -13.9 |
| NAL10 | Site Specific Noise Limit, L <sub>A90</sub>       | 45.0                                                         | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 47.3  | 50.5  | 54.4  |
|       | Proposed Wind Farm Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.7  | 34.8  | 38.3  | 40.1 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4  | 40.4  | 40.4  |

| NAL |                  | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |   |   |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|     |                  | 1                                                            | 2 | 3 | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
|     | Exceedance Level | -                                                            | - | - | -13.3 | -10.2 | -6.7 | -4.9 | -4.6 | -4.6 | -6.9 | -10.1 | -14.0 |

Table 12-18 Compliance Table – Comparison of predicted noise levels from the Proposed Wind Farm against the SSNL at each receptor - Night-time

| NAL  |                                               | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |
|------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|      |                                               | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    |
| NAL1 | Site Specific Noise Limit L <sub>A90</sub>    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 48.8  | 55.2  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 28.3  | 31.5  | 34.9 | 36.8 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 37.1  | 37.1  |
|      | Exceedance Level                              | -                                                            | -    | -    | -14.7 | -11.5 | -8.1 | -6.2 | -5.9 | -5.9 | -5.9 | -11.7 | -18.1 |
| NAL2 | Site Specific Noise Limit L <sub>A90</sub>    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.5 | 49.4  | 54.3  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.5  | 34.6  | 38.1 | 39.9 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 40.2  | 40.2  |
|      | Exceedance Level                              | -                                                            | -    | -    | -11.5 | -8.4  | -4.9 | -3.1 | -2.8 | -2.8 | -4.3 | -9.2  | -14.1 |
| NAL3 | Site Specific Noise Limit L <sub>A90</sub>    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.5 | 49.4  | 54.3  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.2  | 34.3  | 37.8 | 39.6 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9  | 39.9  |
|      | Exceedance Level                              | -                                                            | -    | -    | -11.8 | -8.7  | -5.2 | -3.4 | -3.1 | -3.1 | -4.6 | -9.5  | -14.4 |
| NAL4 | Site Specific Noise Limit L <sub>A90</sub>    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.9 | 47.8  | 52.3  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise L <sub>A90</sub> | -                                                            | -    | -    | 32.2  | 35.4  | 38.8 | 40.6 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 40.9  | 40.9  |

| NAL  |                                   | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |       |       |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|      |                                   | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10    | 11    | 12    |
|      | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -10.8 | -7.6  | -4.2 | -2.4 | -2.1 | -2.1 | -3.0  | -6.9  | -11.4 |
| NAL5 | Site Specific Noise Limit LA90    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 50.7  | 56.8  | 63.5  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | 32.3  | 35.4  | 38.8 | 40.7 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0  | 41.0  | 41.0  |
|      | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -10.7 | -7.6  | -4.2 | -2.3 | -2.0 | -4.3 | -9.7  | -15.8 | -22.5 |
| NAL6 | Site Specific Noise Limit LA90    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 50.7  | 56.8  | 63.5  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | 32.0  | 35.1  | 38.5 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  | 40.7  |
|      | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -11.0 | -7.9  | -4.5 | -2.6 | -2.3 | -4.6 | -10.0 | -16.1 | -22.8 |
| NAL7 | Site Specific Noise Limit LA90    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 44.9  | 49.2  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | 27.7  | 30.9  | 34.3 | 36.2 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 36.4  | 36.4  | 36.4  |
|      | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -15.3 | -12.1 | -8.7 | -6.8 | -6.6 | -6.6 | -6.6  | -8.5  | -12.8 |
| NAL8 | Site Specific Noise Limit LA90    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 44.9  | 49.2  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | 30.4  | 33.5  | 37.0 | 38.8 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1  | 39.1  | 39.1  |
|      | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -12.6 | -9.5  | -6.0 | -4.2 | -3.9 | -3.9 | -3.9  | -5.8  | -10.1 |
| NAL9 | Site Specific Noise Limit LA90    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 46.7  | 52.2  |
|      | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.9  | 35.1  | 38.5 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7  | 40.7  | 40.7  |

| NAL   |                                   | Wind Speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ) as standardised to 10m height |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |
|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
|       |                                   | 1                                                            | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12    |
|       | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -11.1 | -7.9 | -4.5 | -2.6 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -6.0 | -11.5 |
| NAL10 | Site Specific Noise Limit LA90    | 43.0                                                         | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0  | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.4 | 46.0 | 49.4 | 53.4  |
|       | Predicted Wind Turbine Noise LA90 | -                                                            | -    | -    | 31.7  | 34.8 | 38.3 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4  |
|       | Exceedance Level                  | -                                                            | -    | -    | -11.3 | -8.2 | -4.7 | -2.9 | -2.6 | -3.0 | -5.6 | -9.0 | -13.0 |

#### 12.6.3.4 Operational Noise from BESS

In order to assess the immission levels in accordance with BS 4142, the Specific Sound Level must be converted into a Rating Level. The Rating Level allows for character corrections to be added to account for particular characteristics of the sound that may be perceived as more annoying. In particular the Rating Level considers tonality, impulsivity and intermittency of the sound, as well as other sound characteristics that are neither tonal, impulsive, or intermittent, but are otherwise readily distinctive against the residual acoustic environment. Each of these sound characteristics are considered further in Section 6.2 of Technical Appendix 12-3.

During the daytime, the Rating Level is lower than the background sound level at all BNALs except BNAL 01 (which is shown to be +1 dB higher), therefore at these BNALs the Magnitude of Impact is Negligible and this results in No Significant Effects.

For BNAL 01 during the daytime, the BS 4142 assessment indicates a Minor Magnitude of Impact, which also results in No Significant Effects.

During the night-time, the BS 4142 assessment indicates no adverse impact at all NALs. This is a Minor Magnitude of Impact, which also results in No Significant Effects.

Full details of the modelling and assessment can be found in Technical Appendix 12-3.

#### 12.6.4 Potential Cumulative Effects

Potential cumulative effects from noise between the Proposed Project and other permitted or proposed projects and plans in the area, (wind energy or otherwise), as set out in Section 2.7 in Chapter 2 of this EIAR, are set out below.

##### 12.6.4.1 Construction Phase

No cumulative noise effects are anticipated in relation to the construction of the Proposed Wind Farm and other permitted or proposed projects and plans in the area, as set out in Section 2.7 in Chapter 2 of this EIAR. At the vast majority of CNALs, the maximum predicted noise levels as presented in Table 12-12 are >10 dB below the threshold values. This means that even if other construction activities were taking place concurrently, the levels will be such that the addition of the construction noise associated with the Proposed Wind Farm will not result in an exceedance of the threshold values<sup>12</sup>. There are two CNALs (CNAL 11 and 12) where construction noise levels are within 10 dB of the threshold values during year 1, quarter 1, and one CNAL within 10 dB of the threshold during Year 1 Quarter 2 (CNAL13), however as this would only occur for a short duration, no cumulative impacts are anticipated for any of the NSRs located in proximity to these CNALs.

No cumulative noise effects are anticipated in relation to construction of the Proposed Grid Connection and other permitted or proposed projects and plans in the area, as set out in Section 2.7 in Chapter 2 of this EIAR, as construction activities will be transient in nature along the route and will not be in any one location long enough for a significant impact to occur.

---

<sup>12</sup> For example - 40 dB + 30 dB = 40.4 dB and this is considered to be a negligible change.

#### 12.6.4.2 Operational Phase

The Proposed Wind Farm operational noise assessment has already taken cumulative impacts with other permitted nearby wind farms into consideration, as described in the above assessment. The likely cumulative operational noise assessment show that the Proposed Wind Farm can operate concurrently with the operational and permitted wind farms and there would therefore be **no significant cumulative wind turbines operational noise effects** at all NALs.

No cumulative noise effects are anticipated in relation to the BESS. As such **no significant cumulative BESS operational noise is anticipated**.

### 12.7 Mitigation

#### 12.7.1 Mitigation during Construction

No significant effects resulting from construction noise are predicted. Nevertheless, good practice measures to reduce and control noise during construction activities is recommended and will be detailed in a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (Appendix 4-3) to minimise any potential impacts.

The core hours for the proposed works will be 07:00 to 19:00 Monday to Saturday. There will be no working on Sundays and Public Holidays, however, it should be noted that out of necessity some activity outside of the core hours could arise, from delivery and unloading of abnormal loads or health and safety requirements, or to ensure optimal use is made of fair weather windows for concrete deliveries, the erection of turbine blades and the erection and dismantling of cranes. If occasional work is undertaken outside of core hours, especially during construction of access tracks at the site entrance, this should be agreed in advance.

Additionally, construction activities close to residential dwellings will not be undertaken outside of the BS 5228 daytime hours (07:00 to 19:00 Monday to Friday and 07:00 to 13:00 on Saturdays).

Good onsite practices, both for construction of the Proposed Wind Farm and the Proposed Grid Connection will be implemented to minimise the likely effects. Particular care will be taken at watercourse crossings along the Proposed Grid Connection. Section 8 of BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014 recommends a number of simple control measures as summarised below that will be employed onsite:

- Keep local residents informed of the proposed working schedule, where appropriate, including the times and duration of any abnormally noisy activity that may cause concern;
- All vehicles and mechanical plant will be fitted with effective exhaust silencers and be subject to programmed maintenance;
- Select inherently quiet plant where appropriate - all major compressors will be 'sound reduced' models fitted with properly lined and sealed acoustic covers, which will be kept closed whenever the machines are in use;
- All ancillary pneumatic percussive tools will be fitted with mufflers or silencers of the type recommended by the manufacturers;
- Machines will be shut down between work periods (or when not in use) or throttled down to a minimum;
- Regularly maintain all equipment used onsite, including maintenance related to noise emissions;
- Vehicles will be loaded carefully to ensure minimal drop heights so as to minimise noise during this operation; and

- All ancillary plant such as generators and pumps will be positioned so as to cause minimum noise disturbance and if necessary, temporary acoustic screens or enclosures will be provided.

## 12.7.2 Mitigation during Operation

The exact make and model of wind turbine to be used at the Proposed Wind Farm would be the result of a future tendering process. Achievement of the noise limits determined by this assessment would be a key determining factor in the final choice of the Proposed Wind Farm turbines. The noise modelling results presented within this EIAR Chapter are based on the Vestas V162 7.2 MW turbine, which results in the highest predicted noise levels at the key wind speed range and is the precautionary scenario Proposed Wind Farm turbine. Predicted operational noise levels for three other candidate turbines are also included within Appendix 12-2.

The assessment for the Vestas V162 7.2 MW turbine shows the predicted wind turbine noise emission levels meet the Site Specific Noise Limits under all conditions and at all locations for both daytime and night time periods. The assessment presented for the other three candidate turbines also demonstrate that the noise limits can be adhered to.

Whilst it is not possible to predict if OAM will occur, in the event that complaints are received regarding OAM, mitigation measures are available. The design of such mitigation measures can only be determined once the Proposed Wind Farm is operational if OAM is found to occur frequently and at sustained levels. For the Proposed Project, the developer is committed to investigating noise complaints, inclusive of any complaint which may relate to OAM (i.e. beyond overall noise levels found in planning conditions). To deal with the eventuality of a complaint, the developer proposes the following:

- A community liaison officer will be appointed prior to first generation of electricity and contact details made publicly available;
- Any complaint relating to noise can be reported to the community liaison officer, who will undertake an initial screening of the complaint (review of logs submitted, review of wind conditions and turbine data etc..) and speak to the complainant in person, with an eventual visit to the complainant location if possible;
- Following initial screening, the community liaison officer will be responsible for commissioning a detailed noise complaint investigation. This will include appointing a qualified acoustic consultant to undertake noise measurements at the complaint location and quantify the occurrence and depth (in dB) of OAM for every 10 minute of the measurement campaign. The measured 10 minute noise levels and OAM depth would also be correlated with 10 minute wind conditions and operational data to find patterns; and,
- If frequent and sustained OAM is found, then appropriate mitigation would be designed and implemented and the complainant informed by the community liaison officer. Mitigation measures considered would include: changes to the operation of the relevant wind turbine(s) by changing software parameters such as blade pitch for specific wind conditions and time periods, addition of blade furniture (such as vortex generators) to alter the flow of air over the wind turbine blades; and, in extreme cases, targeted wind turbine shutdowns in specific conditions.

For the BESS, an acoustic fence has been included within the design to reduce noise emission levels at the most sensitive NSRs, which are located to the northwest. The fencing has been modelled at a height of 4 m around the northwestern perimeter of the Site. Further detail is provided in Section 5.2 of Appendix 12-3.

## 12.8 Assessment of Residual Effects

### 12.8.1 Residual Construction Effects

Predicted construction noise and vibration levels are below the assessment criteria at all receptors, for all phases of construction. Due to the low background noise levels at some locations, elements of construction noise could be audible at the closest residential receptor for certain periods during the construction phases. However, with or without the good practice construction mitigation measures outlined above there would be **no significant residual effects**.

For the Proposed Grid Connection, accounting for the measures highlighted in BS5228 at watercourse crossings, in addition to accounting for the short term nature of the works, it is considered that there would be **no significant residual effects**.

### 12.8.2 Residual Operational Effects

The predicted Proposed Wind Farm operational noise levels at all NALs lie below the daytime and night time Site-Specific Noise Limits. In addition, the cumulative noise predictions from the Proposed Wind Farm and other operational and consented wind farms lie below the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits. Whilst it is not possible to predict if OAM will occur, potential mitigation measures to reduce OAM have been identified in Section 12.7.2 above. The detail of the appropriate mitigation to be adopted will be determined once the wind farm is operational if and when OAM were to occur, following on-site noise measurements and assessments triggered by a complaint investigation. There would be **no significant residual effects**.

At some locations, under some wind conditions, and for a certain proportion of the time, operational noise from the Proposed Wind Farm would be audible; however, it would be at an acceptable level in relation to the 2006 Guidelines and as such, regardless of which turbine dimensions are selected within the proposed range, there would be **no significant residual effects from operational wind turbine noise**.

Following installation of an acoustic fence for the Proposed BESS, a reduction in predicted noise immission levels would be seen at the most sensitive northwestern NSRs proximate to the site and would aid in keeping the magnitude of impacts minor at these locations. There would be **no significant residual effects from operational BESS noise**.

### 12.8.3 Residual Cumulative Effects

It was found that without mitigation there would be no significant cumulative construction noise and vibration effects. As such there would be **no residual cumulative effects during the construction phase**.

Predicted operational noise levels owing to the Proposed Wind Farm at all the NALs lie below the Total 2006 Guidelines Noise Limits during the daytime and night-time periods. There would be **no residual cumulative effects during the operational phase**.

## Summary

Predicted construction noise levels compared with the Category A criteria outlined in Section E.3 of BS 5228: Part 1 2009+A1:2014 indicate that construction noise levels are below the guidelines considered acceptable at all receptors and that predicted levels would be short term. Construction vibration would also likely be at low levels and would be short term. Activities related to decommissioning would use similar plant to that used for construction activities and would occur at the same locations, as such noise level output during the decommissioning phase is expected to be no higher than the construction phase. Therefore, **no significant noise and vibration effects are anticipated for the construction and decommissioning phases.** Good practice during construction and decommissioning is recommended to minimise any potential noise impacts.

The guidance contained within the 2006 Guidelines was used to assess the likely operational noise impact of the Proposed Wind Farm. Predicted levels and measured background noise levels indicate that for dwellings neighbouring the Proposed Wind Farm, wind turbine noise would meet the noise criteria established in accordance with the 2006 Guidelines, therefore **no significant effects are anticipated for the wind turbine operational noise.** Wind turbine noise would also meet the Site-Specific Noise Limits at all NALs for all wind speeds and directions.

There are a range of wind turbine models that would be appropriate for the Proposed Wind Farm site. The candidate wind turbines used for this assessment were chosen in order to allow a representative assessment of the noise impacts. Should the Proposed Project receive consent, the final choice of wind turbine would be subject to a competitive tendering process, but will fall within the range of parameters assessed within this EIAR. The final choice of wind turbine will, however, have to meet the noise limits determined and contained within any condition imposed.

Whilst it is not possible to predict if OAM will occur, potential mitigation measures to reduce OAM have been identified and the developer is proposing to appoint a community liaison officer with a commitment to investigate complaints which may relate to OAM. However, the detail of appropriate mitigation to be adopted can only be determined once the wind farm is operational, following on-site noise measurements and assessments triggered by a complaint investigation. In the event that frequent and sustained OAM is identified, suitable mitigation will be implemented and therefore no significant effects are likely as a result of OAM.

Predicted BESS and substation noise levels have been assessed in accordance with BS4142 which indicate no adverse impacts. As a result, no significant effects are anticipated. Should any updates to the layout / equipment specification be made updated prior to lodging an application for the BESS then the associated effects will be required to be assessed.